

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | . F | TILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/030,710 | | 02/25/1998 | PETER C. CHEN | M-3206-1C | 7700 |
| 24251 | 7590 | 02/11/2003 | | | |
| SKJERVE | | RILL LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| 25 METRO DRIVE SUITE 700 | | | | DINH, DUNG C | |
| SAN JOSE, CA 95110 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | 2153 | | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/030,710 | CHEN, PETER C. |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Dung Dinh | 2153 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |) a timely filed amendment which | ition. A proper reply to a places the application in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply to be later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | | see NOTE below); |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | | |
| (c) \(\sum \) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli | | nally rejected claims. |
| NOTE: the term "non-standard" in the amended | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | on(s): | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | parate, timely filed amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se | | dered but does NOT place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-10,17-19,21-28,30-35 and 38</u> | <u>3-45</u> . | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp | roved by the Examiner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | |
| 10. Other: | 2 | T C |
| | | Dung Diah |
| | | Dung Dinh Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2153 |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the argument that the references can not be combine is not persuasive.